ABSTRACT
This paper attempts to analyze the missing link between various Islamic movements post-Suharto era. Upon the abolition of “azas tunggal” as the sole ideological base of social and political movements during Habibie’s administration, many Islamic movements emerged and some Islamic organizations reaffirmed their basic principles to al-Qur’an and Sunnah. Some of these movements have been transformed into political parties and participated in the ongoing democratization process. The paper argues that Islamic movements are not monolithic movement. There are divided into several Islamic organizations and political parties and that require networks that link and exchange their ideas so that they can reach the same objective through various channels. Finally the paper identifies sources of difference and the potential means for building networks between them and with the other Islamic movement across the Muslim world.
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INTRODUCTION
Islam in Indonesia is not a monolithic community and Muslims are not united in one single political party or movement. In his classical study on Islam in Java, Clifford Geertz has argued that Islam in Indonesia can be classified into three groups based on the social cultural milieu: santri (practicing Muslim), abangan (nominal Muslim) and priyayi (elite class from abangan).1 This categorization furthermore is reducible to two types namely; santri and abangan. Even though this classification is useful analytical devices and has been used by many Indonesianists in their study of Islam in Indonesia, it has been criticized for being amenable to change over time and less relevant to contemporary practice of Islam in Indonesia. For Douglas Ramage argues that “…the applicability of santri/abangan distinctions (classification) for understanding Islam and/or national politic is increasingly less relevant today.”2

From a different perspective, Jalaluddin Rakhmat, an Indonesian Muslim Scholar, defines ummat Islam (Islamic community) in five ways. First, nominal Muslims who declare themselves Muslims but do not practice Islamic teaching in
their daily life. This group would be called as *abangan/priyayi* in Geertz’s classification. Second, Muslims who practice daily ritual activities such as performing prayers, alms giving, fasting, and hajj. Third, knowledgeable Muslims who have sufficient knowledge of Islamic teaching. Fourth, devout Muslims who attempt to conduct themselves in accordance with Islamic teaching. Finally, fundamentalist Muslims who believe that Islam is an ideology and try to establish an Islamic state or at least an Islamic society based on the shari’ah. These Islamic communities further divide into different Islamic movements. Before analyzing the Islamic movements in Indonesia post Suharto, it is worth addressing that form of Islam in Indonesia is *sunni* and falls into two major movements: Modernist and traditionalist.

Muslim modernist in Indonesia grew out of the Egyptian reform movement and in response to indigenous nationalism at the turn of the century. It drew inspiration from the reform ideas of the anti-colonial, pan-Islamist Jamal al-Din al Afghani (1883–1897) and father of modernism Muhammad Abduh (1845–1905). Representing Muslim modernism in Indonesia were organizations like Sarekat Dagang Islam (SDI) (1905), Muhammadiyah (1912) and Persatuan Islam (1923). The modernist organization mostly appealed to a socio-economic class of educated urban elites and merchant traders. They gave priority to education, social welfare programs and dakwah activities.

Muhammadiyah was the main modernist Islamic movements and the second largest mass organization in Indonesia with estimated membership more than 30 millions members. After the declaration of Indonesian independence in 1945, the Muslim modernists founded young modernist organizations. These included Gerakan Pemuda Islam Indonesia (the Indonesian Movement of Islamic Youth, GPII) in 1945, Pelajar Islam Indonesia (Indonesian Muslim Students’ Association, PII) in 1946, and Himpunan Mahasiswa Islam (The association of Islamic University Students, HMI) in 1947. Members of Dewan Dakwah Islamiyyah Indonesia (DDII) as well as the controversial organization, Ikatan Cendekiawan Muslim Indonesia (Association of Indonesia Muslims Intellectuals, ICMI), are products of a modernists background.

In contrast, traditionalists led by two prominent religious scholars known as *Kiai*, Wahab Hasbullah and Hasyim Asy’ari founded the Nahdlatul Ulama in 1926 as a response to the growth of Muslim modernism. The traditionalist rejects the efforts to purify the religious teaching from local superstition and in order to protect the economic and social-religious interests of pesantren. However, since the last decade, NU has become a diverse and complex organization with a decentralized structure largely based on personalistic and informal networks and authority of individual *kiai* who run a system of traditional Islamic Boarding schools mainly in rural Java. Much of the authority of NU *kiai* is hereditary. NU has an estimated membership of over than 40 millions people. Many newly established Islamic movements basically originated from NU personalities. For instance, Majelis Mujahiddin Indonesia (Indonesian council of Mujahidin) led by Abu Bakar Basyir, Fron Pembela Islam (Islamic Defenders’ Front) by Habib Reziq Syihab, Short lived Laskar Jihad led by Ja’afar Umar Thalib from Ahli Sunnah wal Jama’ah forum. As such this paper attempts to analyze the main contemporary Islamic movements in Indonesia and further analyze the links between them.
A DIAGNOSTIC ANALYSIS OF ISLAMIC MOVEMENT: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Islamic movement is expected to follow and commit to Islamic principles and values. In analyzing any Islamic movement, Malik Badri has highlighted four components namely: cognitive, affective, behavioral, and spiritual in line with commitment to the movement. These components are determinant factors for Islamic movements to succeed in facing the challenge of the contemporary world.

The cognitive component of Islamic commitment according to Malik Badri, is something to do with Iman. It is undoubtedly the rational and cognitive aspect of the religion. Basically it is to know and believe in Laa ilaha Illa Allah. To be informed and have faith in the tawhid of Allah and His exalted attributes; to know and believe in His Angels, books and Messengers and to be rationally convinced that this universe is the creation of the One Almighty God and that there is resurrection and a day of judgment. The cognitive aspect should also include knowledge of shari’ah about the duties and responsibilities of Muslims as individuals and as an ummah. As such it includes various major issues in individual life such as ritual worship, personal responsibility and public affairs i.e. the nature of Islamic governance, jihad and relations with other fellow human beings (Muslims and non-Muslims).

The affective component is also derived from Iman as it relates to the heart and feelings such as affection and emotions. Muslims’ belief should not be rational one of the neutral mind. It must be warmed by the second component of Iman, which is the affective and emotional motive generated by the heart. This includes feelings such as deep appreciation and love of God, His prophet and of the Muslim brothers and sisters or anger and hostility at those who strive to block the way of Allah and give support to His enemies.

The third component is the combination of the two that binds the mind and the heart. It culminates in the behavioral or practical component of actual deeds. Islam basically involves the practical applications of worshipping such as prayers, fasting, Hajj, and zakat. At the macro level, Islam would include all the deeds that emanate from these practices such as jihad, being good to parents, avoiding sin and performing any deed that pleases Allah.

Finally, the real pinnacle of iman and the main goal of ibadah and Islamic commitment is basically the spiritual dimension. Malik Badri confessed that when we discuss the spiritual component, one may refer only to its effect as a process of the tazkiyyah and cleansing of the heart or soul. This level is basically the station in which a Muslim is bestowed with tranquility, intimate serenity and a submissive feeling of joy that no words can translate. It can only be achieved by offering more voluntary prayers at night (qiyamullail), voluntary fasting, deep contemplation (tafakkur) in God’s creation and continual remembrance or Dzikir of Allah ta’alla.

EMERGENCE OF ISLAMIC MOVEMENTS DURING POST SUHARTO ERA

Islamic movements in Indonesia have been a dynamic force. Since the arrival of Islam in Indonesia in the early years of Hijrah or the 7th/8th century CE, It has played a significant role in the development of the nation. The colonial period
notably expedited the spread of Islam and the religion can not be separated from the history of modern Indonesia. It was to some extent considered as a source of troublemaker for the colonial Dutch. Though the Dutch policies, neutral and assimilation policies that embodied the secularism, sought to weaken Islam as political force, Islam retained its nature as a comprehensive way of life.

As a matter of fact, Muslims who went on pilgrimage, would stay in Mecca and study Islam for years. After they mastered the Islamic teachings they returned home and conveyed Islamic teachings to their own people. As a result the Islamic reformist movement had actively purified the Islamic teaching from non-Islamic customary ancestors. The Muslim leaders formed a organization of Muslim traders and businessmen known as Sarekat Dagang Islam (SDI) in 1905 as a response to the growing number of Chinese traders. SI was considered as the first Nationalist movement in the history of Indonesia. It later changed and became Sarekat Islam (SI) in 1911. With the increasing exposure in Mecca while performing Pilgrimage, the reformist Muslims formed an organization known Muhammadiyyah, under the leadership of K.H. Ahmad Dahlan in 1912. In response to the mergence of reformist Muslim groups, traditionalist Muslims who defended local traditions established Nahdhatul Ulama (NU) in the 1926, led by the KH Hasyim As’ary. Islamic movements have played a significant role in establishing Indonesia.

However, Islamic movements were not given an opportunity to play political role during the Guided Democracy and the early phase of New Order era. The influence of Islamic movements has only really been felt in Indonesian politics in the 1990s when Suharto’s government attempted to accommodate Islamic (Muslim) interest in domestic policy due to certain significant changes of the domestic environment. This was evident in Suharto’s personal life and various domestic policies to favor Muslims. It was a significant shift for modern Indonesia. In 1989, Suharto and his allies in the parliament endorsed a draft of the shari’ah court with special reference to the Islamic family laws. Two years later, Suharto agreed to be a patron of the newly established ICMI (Association of Indonesian Muslim intellectuals) which he requested his closest cabinet and personal confidant, B.J. Habibie, to chair. Following the election of 1993, which made him President for a sixth presidential term, Suharto appointed many members of ICMI to his cabinet (1993–1998). At the personal level, Suharto as President of the largest Muslim nation performed his pilgrimage and appeared in many events of Muslim celebration such as Friday prayer, two significant days (Iedul-Fitr and Iedul-Adlha) in the early 1990s. In fact, Suharto attempted to accommodate Islam as he turned his orientation from pro western policy to south-south cooperation especially the Middle Eastern countries in the mid 1990s.

With the downfall of Suharto, the Muslim middle class have played an increasingly significant role in Indonesian politics. They later on participated in the ongoing reformation (reformasi) process from authoritarian political system into liberal democratic political system. Some Islamic movements during the transitional period established their own Islamic political parties with the abolition of Pancasila as the sole ideology of mass and political organizations. As a result, the general election in 1999, which was contested by 48 political parties included Islamic political parties was successfully held. The 1999 election brought a number of Muslims to the House of the Representatives Council (DPR) which elected a large legitimate government to rule the country.
At the same time, the demise of the New Order Government saw the emergence of significant fundamentalist groups. Four fundamentalist groups aim at the implementation of shari’ah and making Islam the basic ideology of their movements. These include Islamic Defenders’ Front (Fron Pembela Islam- FPI), Laskar Jihad, Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia, and Hizbut Tahrir. Islamic Defenders’ Front (Front Pembela Islam- FPI) was established to combat moral depravity spread in the capital city. This movement was led by Habib Rizieq, an Arab Muslim descent who was born in Jakarta. This Muslim organization arose against the incident in Tanjuk Priok September 1983, but the official formation of the organization only dates from 17 August 1998. Their activities included protest and demonstration against the government, asking them to close several night clubs in the city, disturbing people who committed sinful acts such as adultery, consumption of alcohol and the like. Furthermore this group also aims at the implementation of shari’ah in their private as well as public life. Due to the accommodating attitude of the New Order government towards its aspirations and interests in the 1990s up to the demise of the New Order, these movements, especially Islamic Defenders’ Front (FPI) supported Suharto and challenged Suharto’s opponents.

A different fundamentalist Muslim group emerged when the religious conflict rocked the Maluku islands of East Indonesia, where the Christian and Muslims community fought each other in 1999. The root cause of this conflict was due to the perceived economic inequality between the two groups. Due to this incident, the Muslim community established the so-called “Laskar Jihad”, an Islamic group who were ready for holy war in the early months of 2000. The Ahlussunnah Waljama’ah Communication Forum (Forum Komunikasi Ahlussunnah Waljama’ah) was led by Ja’far Umar Thalib. This movement was dissolved when the conflict diminished in 2003.

At the same time, Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia (MMI) also emerged as the fundamentalist Muslim groups. This group was formed in Yogyakarta, in August 2000, led by Abu Bakar Baasyir, one of the founders of Ngruki Islamic boarding school and alleged to be the leader (amir) who approved the bombings in Bali and J. W. Marriot bombing respectively in 2002 and 2003. This group aims at the implementation of Islamic shari’ah across Indonesia.

Finally, Hizbut Tahrir is a political party whose ideology is based on Islam. It is not however registered as an Islamic political party that participates in the national election. In fact, it was established to restore the caliphate and God’s laws. This group does not agree with liberal democracy. Hizbut Tahrir was established in 1953 in Middle East especially Lebanon in response to the injunction of God which says, “Let there be among you a group that invites to the good, orders what is right and forbids what is evil, and they are those who are successful.” Its activities have been directed to resume the Islamic way of life and to convey the Islamic da’wah to the word. Hizbut Tahrir attempts to shape behavior in such a way that affairs of society are administered according to the shari’ah and under the leadership of the Islamic state which is headed by a caliph. The members of the party consist of Muslim men and women. They embrace Islam and carry Islam and adopt its system regardless of nationality and madhhhab (school of thought).

Significantly, these fundamentalist Islamic movements did not play a role in the ongoing democratization process by maintaining their emphasis on dakwah and cultural movements. Indeed, political Islam had been marginalized since the Guided
Democracy era and severely treated in the early New Order era. Though Suharto accommodated Muslims’ aspiration and interest and implemented a more open political system in the 1990s, Islamic parties had not yet been formed. Upon the demise of Suharto, the demand for reformation voiced by Muslim as well as non-Muslim emphasized democratization, demilitarization of politics, and abolition of Suharto’s influence, including Nepotism, Corruption and Coalition (Korupsi, Kolusi, dan Nepotisme - KKN) that caused the economic and political crises.

In response to the call for reformation, Habibie announced that the chief priority was to root out the KKN and to create a clean government. On the political front, he highlighted five political laws of the previous political system, namely, House of People Representative (DPR), the People Consultative Assembly (MPR), political parties, regional administration and elections. Furthermore to be more democracy, the law on subversive which had actually been used to eradicate opponents, was revised. Habibie successfully established significant alteration to the laws of the political system, including abolition of azas tunggal (Laws of the Mass organization), and conducted the 1999 election, and introduced freedom for press, upholding Human rights, and reduced the number of military political representatives in the House of People Representative.

Upon the abolishment of the azas tunggal policy, which was created by Suharto in 1985, Indonesia witnessed the emergence of hundreds of political parties, many of them along religious lines. Nevertheless, only 48 parties qualified to participate in the 1999 election. The classification of Islamic and non-Islamic political parties was not easy. The Islamic political parties may be recognized by their basic ideological foundation, name, and symbol. If one of these represents Islam then it could be considered an Islamic political party. On this basis, 19 Islamic political parties contested in the 1999 election.

Out of these 19 Islamic parties, a few gained popular support in the 1999 election. PPP the long established Islamic party since the New Order secured the highest vote 11%. The Crescent and Star Party (Partai Bulan Bintang- PBB), which was Masyumi’s successor, gained only 2%, and the Justice Party (Partai Keadilan-PK), which represented what was known Lembaga Dakwah Kampus (LDK), secured 1.5%, and the other Islamic parties received even small votes. Nevertheless, The PKB and PAN which were known as Nationalist parties but led by prominent Muslim leaders secured more votes with respectively 13% and 7%. While the majority of votes were accumulated to the two other New Order parties namely, Indonesian Democratic Party (Partai Demokrasi Indonesia - PDI-P) under the leadership of Megawati, which won 35% of the vote and Golkar, the New Order political party received 23% of the vote.

Subsequently, the election of 2004 resulted in a similar pattern of voting position, where Islamic parties were defeated. But it is interesting to note that the Justice and Welfare Party (PKS) which previously known as PK, gained more votes compared to the 1999 election. It is partly because of its successful campaign to convince people that they are clean and uncorrupted members. As a matter of fact, the remaining Islamic parties, except PPP, secured fewer votes and they thus disqualified from participating in the next election. PKB and PAN secured more or less the same result as the 1999 election. Golkar won more votes than that of PDI-P. On the other hand, the new Democrat Party (PD) surprisingly secured more votes. Partly because it’s presidential candidate Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono is a charismatic general whom people admire. Despite the fact the 1999 election did
not reside with Islamic parties. It gave the middle class Muslims controlled the parliament. The middle class Muslims were represented in the HMI alumni in several parties such as PBB, PAN, PPP and especially Golkar. Despite the fact the Islamic parties did not perform well, middle class Muslims’ opinion was sufficiently well represented in parties like PBB, PAN, PPP and Golkar.

This election to some extent reflected the Indonesian Muslim scenario. Though there was growing Islamic awareness, this awareness was limited to personal life, and not yet at the level of an Islamic state. As such, the increasing awareness was just part of Islamic awakening. Secondly the Indonesian Muslim Scholars have different opinions on the importance of building an Islamic state. The softer line conservative and some Modernist Muslims such as Abdurrahman Wahid, the former leader of the NU, Nurcholis Majid, the propagator of Islamic liberalism, and lately even M. Amin Rais, the former leader of the modernist Muslims Muhammadiyyah, did not agree with the formation of an Islamic state. On the other hand, the other modernist Muslim groups such Islamic Defenders’ Fronts (FPI), KISDI, DDII, and others suggest the promotion of the Islamic state. Finally many Muslims, especially nominal Muslims in the urban areas rejected the Islamic political parties, as it widely believed that if people vote after the Islamic party, then they would not have freedom to enjoy the hedonistic life.

Moreover, many Muslim scholars considered the result of the 1999 election a defeat of formal Islam that indicate that Islamic politics are not the choice of Indonesian Muslims. However, Islam remained significant in Indonesian politics. This was evident in several decision-making processes in the House of the Representative. Nurcholis Majid shared the same view with Azyumardi Azra, Muslim scholar and the former rector of Islamic State’s University, on the lack of relevancy of formality and symbols of political Islam in present Indonesia. Azra argues that most Indonesian Muslims are learning towards what he calls “substantive Islam” rather than “formalistic Islam”.

Since no party won an absolute majority, the election of a new president was the result of complicated negotiations between the parties and other relevant actors, including military. Amin Rais urged Islamic parties to forge an alliance between PAN and the three major parties, PPP, PBB and PK known as the Central Axis (poros tengah), which aimed at preventing Megawati from becoming a President for several reasons: in term of gender, there was strong opposition to the idea of a woman president. Secondly was the fact that a high proportion of Christians were in Megawati’s PDI-P and doubts about her own Islamicity. Abdurrahman Wahid was therefore proposed as president by the central axis. Nevertheless, Wahid could not able maintain his presidential position due to his failure to tackle multiple domestic crises, social as well as economic. As a result he was later impeached by the House of Representative, which was again controlled by the central axis in 2001, for his involvement in Bulog gate, and corruption among the circle of the president. This again led to unrest and demonstrations conducted by Wahid’s supporters.

With the fall of Wahid, Megawati was appointed as the fifth president until 2004. Megawati was also supported by the central axis. Accordingly, the central Axis played a significant role in determining Indonesian politics post-Suharto. Despite the fact that Islamic political parties did not win the election, they were a strongly influential factor in decision-making process, especially in the House of
Representatives and thus demonstrated the ability of Islam to present itself as a potent political force.

In line with the reformation of the 1945 constitution, the discussion of a new constitution went on from 1999 to 2002. Fundamentalist Muslim groups demanded the Jakarta Charter and the implementation of shari’ah. However, because moderate modernist Muslims controlled the parliament, the proposal was not accepted. Nevertheless, this proposal of the implementation of shari’ah was accepted to the regional province level, where Makassar and Aceh were given autonomy to implement the shari’ah.

MISSING LINK BETWEEN ISLAMIC MOVEMENTS IN INDONESIA

The Islamic movements in Indonesia post Suharto era have been principally divided into two main groups; moderate Muslim groups which constitute the majority of Muslims in Indonesia and fundamentalist or hardliner Muslim groups which only emerged after the downfall of Suharto in 1998 onward.

In this context, that the oldest Islamic movement, Muhammadiyah since its establishment has played an important role in Islamic politics: as a religious reform movement, as an agent of social change and as a political force. As religious reformist, Muhammadiyah aimed at purifying the Islamic faith removing superstitious practices and traditional admixtures. It basically calls the Muslims in Indonesia to embrace guidance directly from the Qur’an and Sunnah. In this respect it is no more than a religious revivalist movement.30

Secondly as an agent of social change, Muhammadiyah aimed at modernizing the Indonesian Muslim community in order to lift it up from its backwardness to a place of respectability in the modern world. As such Muhammadiyah has struggled to improve the Muslim education system. Muhammadiyah has established various schools and universities. On the other hand, Muhammadiyah also concerned with social welfare. As such, Muhammadiyah has actively contributed to the field of health and care of orphans.

Finally, as a political force Muhammadiyah is considered a major interest group in Indonesian politics. As highlighted in its philosophical view of Islam, Islam did not separate religion from politics. As a result, Muhammadiyah could not completely disengage itself from political matters. As a matter of fact, the leaders of Muhammadiyah throughout history have been involved in politics. The latest example is the former chairman of Muhammadiyah Amien Rais who was also a leader of “reformasi” in pursuing more democratic Indonesia. However, Muhammadiyah as a mass organization remains non-political, though its members may play roles in various political parties, especially PAN (Partai Amanah Nasional), a party which was established by Amien Rais.

On the other hand, Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) is an organization of immense diversity, complexity and size, claiming a membership of over 40 millions people and aims at preserving local Indonesian tradition. Consequently, NU received more support from poor families most of them are farmers and located at the village level. Unlike Muhammadiyah, NU’s membership was only loosely affiliated with organization, holding emotional attachment rather than membership cards. As such NU has later on been an organization which has a heterogeneous membership in term of social origins, political affiliation, and religious outlook. The main activity
of NU has been in Traditional Islamic education in the form of Islamic boarding schools (pesantren). NU is known as traditional and conservative Muslim organization.

Unlike Muhammadiyah, the social activity of NU has been relatively decentralized here the personalistic and informal networks and authority of individual kiai and ulama or religious leaders and scholars play their role in the spiritual, moral and religious development of santri. With its relative loose membership, many members of NU have accepted liberal pluralism. As a result, the current youth wing of NU has been very much liberal.31

Analyzing the two moderate Muslim organizations, I find that though they have a different emphasis and approach to Dakwah, they share certain commonalities. Both movements have a tendency to encourage be fanatical leaders to the movement. A member of Muhammadiyah for example, has to some extent accepted whatever decisions made by the movement’s leaders, instead of understanding the other movement reason. Likewise, NU’s members listen to their own religious leaders. As a result there have been many incidents where physical conflict between members of these two movements has occurred.

In such movements, the line between commitment to the movement and commitment to Islam itself is not only blurred but completely eliminated. This distorted cognition naturally leads to members loving their leader as Amir of the faithful and give him complete obedience in carrying out any orders irrespective of their irrationality. This phenomenon is actually a product of misinformation that may lead to destructive emotions and spiritual deprivation.

In contrast, the fundamentalist Muslim groups which emerged after the collapse of Suharto regime have adopted a different approach from the mainstream of Islamic movements. These groups basically demand the government adopt and implement shari’ah (Islamic laws) in Indonesia or at least govern the society according to Islamic values. These groups are as we have seen Lasykar jihad which was established for certain purpose “jihad in Ambon”, Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia, Fron Pembela Islam and Hizbut Tahrir. These groups have been known by non-Muslims and some Muslims writers as hard line Muslim groups. Their dakwah has been consistently to compensate “Al-Nahyu ‘An al-Munkar”.32

Analysis shows that these groups stress only certain aspect of Islamic knowledge, emphasizing the Aqidah and the fiqh of halal and haram. Though they do not depreciate the importance of the practical behavior component of worshipping God, they limit it to a shortened cognitive view. They are generally obsessed with external exactness performing ritual performance but not with the internal surrendering mind and submitting heart since they usually ignore the affective and spiritual factors of Islam. It is as if Islam to them is nothing but a code of laws to be carried out without feeling. These groups increasingly constrict the cognitive and practical and disregard the affective and spiritual aspects.

CONCLUSION

Undoubtedly, the Islamic movement in Indonesia is not a monolith. Islamic movements need to be able to tackle the internal as well as external forces that seek to stop dynamic leadership from unifying Islam. With the emergence of challenges from the non-Muslim western community, the Islamic movements need to integrate
their vision and mission so that each Islamic movement can establish a network that understands, and respects whilst gradually establishing a network that can comprehend and embrace the other.
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